



## Topaz hydro review comments

1 message

**Peter Brinton** <peterbrinton@utah.gov>

Thu, Aug 29, 2013 at 6:07 PM

To: Wayne Western <WAYNEWESTERN@utah.gov>

Cc: Paul Baker <paulbaker@utah.gov>

Hi Wayne & Paul,

Comment 43 wasn't addressed as requested. It's a bond comment to include removal costs for culverts or other water control structures (berms, etc.). The response was that "Culverts are minimal and should be covered in the estimate". I will defer to Wayne on that one.

All of the previous hydrology comments were either addressed, or were postponed until the next Phase is proposed as an amendment. We talked about including a statement in the letter to require stormwater calculations, retention capacities, and hydro map modifications at the next phase amendment. It still makes sense to me that we would want to include a statement to this effect.

There is one new comment, which I think is important enough to mention, since water has in the past stayed in pits long enough to be used for dust control... See what you think:

"No reference in section 4.8 (Runoff & Sediment Control Plan, new page 28) exists discussing temporary water ponding in pits. Temporary ponding behind dumps is discussed. A reference to the past(?) use of ponded water in pits for dust control in section 4.5 is being removed (new page 27). Briefly discuss the temporary ponding of water in pits in section 4.8 (new page 28)."

Otherwise, looks good. I am done.

Peter

—

Peter Brinton  
Environmental Scientist/Engineer II  
Utah Division of Oil, Gas & Mining  
Office Phone: [801-538-5258](tel:801-538-5258)  
Days: M - Th, Every Other Friday  
Hours: 7:30am - 5:00pm